

Knobbe Martens Olson & Bear LLP

Intellectual Property Law

2040 Main Street
Fourteenth Floor
Irvine, CA 92614
Tel 949-760-0404
Fax 949-760-9502
www.kmob.com**RECEIVED**
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**USPTO FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET****AUG 03 2008**

Page 1 of 1


Docket No.: WINN.001A

CUSTOMER NO. 20995

Applicant : Ben Huang
App. No. : 10/608,598
Filed : June 27, 2003
For : ANTIMICROBIAL GRIPS FOR SPORTS
EQUIPMENT
Examiner : Stephen Luther Blau
Group Art Unit : 3711

CERTIFICATE OF FAX TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all
marked attachments are being transmitted via
facsimile to the USPTO Central Fax No. (571)
273-8300 on the date shown below:


August 3, 2006

Mark R. Benedict, Reg. No. 44,531

Transmitted herewith for filing and consideration in the above-referenced application is the following item:

(X) Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement in 3 pages.

(X) Total pages in transmission: 4

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, now or in the future, or credit any overpayment to Account No. 11-1410.


Mark R. Benedict
Registration No. 44,531
Attorney of Record
Customer No. 20,995
(949) 760-0404

2803286/nlg
080206

San Diego

San Francisco

Los Angeles

Riverside

San Luis Obispo

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Docket No.: WINN.001A

AUG 03 2006

Customer No. 20,995

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Applicant	:	Ben Huang
App. No	:	10/608,598
Filed	:	June 27, 2003
For	:	ANTIMICROBIAL GRIPS FOR SPORTS EQUIPMENT
Examiner	:	Stephen Luther Blau
Art Unit	:	3711

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant previously submitted litigation references to the Examiner pursuant to the Court's interpretation of M.P.E.P. § 2001.06(c) in an unpublished opinion by the Federal Circuit in *Mallinckrodt, Inc. v. Masimo Corp.*, 147 Fed. Appx. 158, 2005 WL 2139867 (Fed. Cir. 2005). Applicant now has further guidance regarding the scope of M.P.E.P. § 2001.06(c). Pursuant to the published opinion of the District Court of Illinois in *Nilssen v. Sylvania, Inc.*, 2006 WL 1891807 at 21 (N.D.Ill.), "[o]ngoing litigation is material if the patent involved in the litigation shares the same specification and discloses the same subject matter as the pending patent." *Id.* Applicant notes that in *Mallinckrodt*, the patents at issue shared a common specification. Rather than limiting the disclosure of litigation documents to cases which share a specification, as discussed in *Nilssen*, however, in an abundance of caution Applicant intends to provide the Examiner with litigation documents when the pending application claims priority from the application which matured into the litigated patent.

As Applicant has previously noted, Applicant has litigated a number of different patents. In particular, six (6) U.S. patents have been involved in litigation: 5,695,418, 5,797,813, 5,857,929, 6,244,975, 6,843,732, and 6,857,971.

Appl. No. : 10/608,598
Filed : June 27, 2003

Docket No. WINN.001A
Customer No. 20,995

Winn v. Kelmac, U.S. District Court- Central District of California (Southern Division- Los Angeles) Civil Docket for Case #: SACV 00-881-AHS (ANx), involved U.S. Patent No. 5,797,813.

Winn, Inc., et al. v High Cedar, et al., U.S. District Court- Central District of California (Southern Division- Santa Ana) Civil Docket for Case #: 8:00-CV-00882 AHS AN, involved U.S. Patent No. 5,797,813. The complaint was amended in June of 2001 to include U.S. Patent No. 5,695,418.

Winn, Incorporated, et al. v Eaton Corporation, et al., U.S. District Court- Central District of California (Western Division- Los Angeles) Civil Docket for Case #: 2:03-CV-01568 SJO (PJW), involved U.S. Patent Nos. 5,695,418 and 5,797,813.

King Par Corp. v. Winn Inc., U.S. District Court- Eastern District of Michigan (Detroit) Civil Docket for Case #: 2:04-CV-71117 AC WC , involved U.S. Patent Nos. 5,695,418, 5,797,813, and 5,857,929.

High Cedar Enterprises Co Ltd., et al. v Winn Inc., U.S. District Court- Central District of California (Western Division- Los Angeles) Civil Docket for Case #: 2:05-CV-00535 AHS AN, involved U.S. Patent Nos. 5,695,418, 5,797,813, and 6,244,975.

Winn, Inc., et al. v. Karakal Far East Ltd., et al., U.S. District Court for the Central District of California (Southern Division), 8:05-CV-00168 CJC (RNBx), involved U.S. Patent No. 6,843,732.

Winn, Inc., et al. v. Compogrip USA Corp., et al., U.S. District Court for the Central District of California (Southern Division), SACV 06-66 DOC (MLGx), involved U.S. Patent No. 6,857,971.

The present application claims priority to none of the patents involved in Applicant's litigations, past or present. Based on Applicant's understanding of M.P.E.P. § 2001.06(c), Applicant has not resubmitted any of the docket sheets for these litigations. If the Examiner so requests, Applicant can provide the Examiner with updated docket sheets from these litigations and/or copies of any documents from these litigations.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for his attention to Applicant's previously submitted references. Should the Examiner have any questions regarding any of these documents, the Examiner is earnestly invited to contact the Applicant's attorney of record at the number below.

Appl. No. : 10/608,598
Filed : June 27, 2003

Docket No. WINN.001A
Customer No. 20,995

This Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement is being filed within three months of the filing date, with an RCE or before receipt of a first office action after an RCE and no fee is required.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Account No. 11-1410.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: August 3, 2006

By: 

Mark R. Benedict
Registration No. 44,531
Attorney of Record
Customer No. 20,995
(949) 760-0404

2790837
072806